Contents

Acknowledgments **xv**

Introduction **1**

1 CMOS-sensor applications **5**

1.1 High-energy physics experiments . . . 5

1.1.1 Understanding of the requirements - the CBM experiment as an example 5

1.1.2 Upgrade of the STAR experiment. . . . 10

1.1.3 The International Linear Collider. . . . 11

1.2 Beam telescopes . . . 12

1.2.1 TAPI: Télescope À Pixels de l'IPHC. . . . 13

1.2.2 The EUDET telescope . . . 14

1.3 Conclusions and summary . . . 15

2 Particle interactions with matter and radiation damage **17**

2.1 Heavy charged particle interactions with matter . . . 17

2.1.1 Energy-loss distribution for charged particles . . . 19

2.1.2 Energy loss of electrons and positrons . . . 20

2.2 Interactions of photons . . . 21

2.3 Neutron interactions with matter . . . 23

2.4 Principles of particle detection with semiconductor detectors . . . 23

2.4.1 Signal formation in silicon detectors . . . 25

2.4.2 Radiation damage in silicon detectors . . . 25

2.4.2.1 Ionizing damage . . . 25

2.4.2.2 Non-ionizing damage . . . 27

2.5 Conclusions and summary . . . 31

3 Position sensitive particle detectors **33**

3.1 Strip detectors . . . 33

3.2 Hybrid Active Pixel Sensors . . . 35

3.2.1 The classical approach . . . 35

3.2.2 Hybrid sensors with transversal charge collection ("3D sensors") . . . 37

3.2.3 Diamond-based hybrid sensors . . . 39

3.3 Classical Charge-Coupled Devices 40
3.4 In-Situ storage Image Sensors 42
3.5 DEPFET sensors 44
3.6 Silicon On Insulator sensors 46
3.7 Conclusions and summary 47

4 CMOS pixel sensors and status of their radiation hardness 51
4.1 CMOS pixel sensor – the device 51
  4.1.1 Principle of operation 51
  4.1.2 Pros and cons of CMOS pixel sensors 53
  4.1.3 Basic in-pixel architectures implemented in CMOS pixel sensors 54
  4.1.4 Noise and its sources in CMOS pixel sensors 58
    4.1.4.1 Temporal noise 58
    4.1.4.2 Fixed Pattern Noise (FPN) 60
    4.1.4.3 Random Telegraph Signal (RTS) 60
4.2 Tools and measurement methods 62
  4.2.1 Correlated Double Sampling 62
  4.2.2 Measurements – sensor readout and setup 65
    4.2.2.1 Basic readout architecture 65
    4.2.2.2 Measurement setup 67
    4.2.2.3 The dark chamber 69
    4.2.2.4 System automation 69
  4.2.3 Observables measured in the laboratory conditions 70
    4.2.3.1 Sensor calibration with an ^{55}Fe source 70
    4.2.3.2 Charge Collection Efficiency 73
    4.2.3.3 Pixel capacitance measurements 73
    4.2.3.4 Leakage current and noise calculations 75
  4.2.4 Observables measured with Minimum Ionizing Particles 79
    4.2.4.1 Detection efficiency – ϵ_{det} 79
    4.2.4.2 Spatial resolution – σ_{res} 79
    4.2.4.3 The average fake hit rate – FH$_{rate}$ 80
    4.2.4.4 Adequacy of a ^{106}Ru source for the sensor characterization . . . 80
  4.2.5 Sensor irradiation facilities used for this work 82
    4.2.5.1 Ionizing radiation source used in this work 82
    4.2.5.2 Non-ionizing radiation sources used in this work 84
4.3 Radiation effects in CMOS pixel sensors and current radiation tolerance status . 86
  4.3.1 Ionizing radiation effects in CMOS pixel sensors 86
    4.3.1.1 Parasitic path creation 86
    4.3.1.2 Increase in the sensing-diode leakage current 87

4.3.1.3 Noise increase 89
4.3.2 Ionizing-radiation-tolerance status of CMOS sensors developed at the IPHC-Strasbourg (2007) 90
4.3.3 Non-ionizing radiation effects 92
4.4 Conclusions and summary 93

5 Radiation tolerance of CMOS pixel sensors with a column-parallel readout 97
5.1 The need for the column-parallel architecture 97
5.2 Towards a fast column-parallel architecture with FPN noise removal and in-pixel signal processing 98
5.2.1 The first prototype of the CMOS sensor with the column-parallel architecture – MIMOSA-6 99
5.2.2 The architecture towards FPN noise reduction – MIMOSA-8 prototype . . 101
5.2.3 Improvement of the charge collection efficiency - MIMOSA-16 102
5.3 First radiation-tolerance assessment for a large-scale CMOS sensor with in-pixel signal processing 104
5.3.1 Performance tests of the in-pixel amplifier architectures 104
5.3.2 Ionizing-radiation tolerance of MIMOSA-22 – laboratory tests and results 106
5.3.3 Ionizing-radiation tolerance of MIMOSA-22 – beam test results 107
5.4 Improvement in the radiation hardness of an in-pixel amplifier with a negative feedback loop – MIMOSA-22bis 110
5.4.1 Tested subarrays – MIMOSA-22bis 111
5.4.2 Beam-test results of improved in-pixel amplifier architectures – larger diodes 111
5.4.3 Motivation for extended tests 116
5.4.4 MIMOSA-22bis — laboratory test results — the influence of the feedback-transistor type on the radiation tolerance 117
5.4.5 Tests on subarrays with smaller diodes - tests of the influence of feedback-transistor type and geometry on the noise performance 121
5.4.6 The influence of the input-transistor and load-transistor geometry on the in-pixel amplifier performance after irradiation 121
5.4.7 Further improvements towards a better ionizing radiation tolerance – MIMOSA-22ter 123
5.5 First large-scale chip with a column-parallel architecture and data sparsification – MIMOSA-26 128
5.6 Conclusions and summary 130

6 Radiation-tolerance assessment of the different CMOS processes 133
6.1 Radiation tolerance of the 0.25-μm BiCMOS process with a graded EPI layer . . . 136
6.1.1 The sensor prototype based on a graded EPI layer – MIMOSA-21bis . . . 137

6.1.2 Sensor calibration with an ^{55}Fe source 139
6.1.3 Ionizing radiation tolerance 140
6.1.4 The charge collection properties after neutron irradiation 143
6.1.5 Conclusions 146
6.2 Performance of sensors based on a high-resistivity epitaxial layer – MIMOSA-25 146
6.2.1 Substrate properties 147
6.2.2 Design of the MIMOSA-25 prototype based on the XFAB 0.6-µm high-resistivity EPI layer 148
6.2.3 Non-ionizing radiation tolerance assessment of MIMOSA-25 149
6.2.3.1 Temporal noise before and after neutron irradiation 149
6.2.3.2 Charge collection properties of the high-resistivity EPI layer studied in the laboratory. 151
6.2.3.3 Charge collection properties of a high-resistivity EPI layer measured with high-energy pion beam. 153
6.2.4 MIMOSA-25 ionizing radiation tolerance – laboratory tests and results . . 155
6.2.4.1 Leakage current measurements 156
6.2.4.2 Temporal noise measurements 156
6.2.5 Conclusions 157
6.3 Radiation-tolerance of the XFAB 0.35-µm process with a standard EPI layer . . . 158
6.3.1 The first prototype in the XFAB 0.35-µm technology – MIMOSA-24 159
6.3.2 Sensor gain calibration and pixel capacitance measurements 163
6.3.3 Leakage current and temporal noise measurements before irradiation . . 163
6.3.4 Charge collection performance observed before irradiation 165
6.3.5 Ionizing radiation tolerance 167
6.3.5.1 Leakage-current measurements after irradiation. 168
6.3.5.2 Temporal-noise measurement after irradiation 169
6.3.6 Non-ionizing-radiation-tolerance assessment 170
6.3.7 Conclusions on the XFAB 0.35-µm process 172
6.4 Conclusions and summary 173

7 Modeling of the radiation effects in the Charge-Coupled Devices 175
7.1 Confrontation of the CCD performance with the ILC experiment requirements . 175
7.2 Charge transfer losses in 2-phase CCD devices 178
7.2.1 Insufficient gate voltage 178
7.2.2 Bulk radiation damage 178
7.3 Simulation model 179
7.3.1 Device specification in ISE-TCAD 179
7.3.2 CTI and its extraction from ISE-TCAD simulation 181
7.3.3 Estimation of the trap density in the CCD channel 183

7.4 Influence of the clock amplitude and frequency on the charge transfer 184
7.5 Dependence of the CTI on the temperature and readout-clock frequency – TCAD simulations 186
7.5.1 Model parameters 186
7.5.2 Simulation results 188
7.6 Summary and conclusions 191

Summary 193

A Appendices 199
A.1 Readout scheme of CMOS sensors with column-parallel architecture. 199
A.2 Test matrices implemented in the MIMOSA-22ter prototype 203
A.3 MOS capacitor 204
A.4 MIMOSA-25 readout scheme 206

Bibliography 207

List of Figures

1.1 The CBM experiment . . . 6
1.2 The principle of the track reconstruction of the short-lived particles with vertex detector . . . 7
1.3 The influence of the impact parameter required on the sensor performance . . . 8
1.4 The STAR detector . . . 10
1.5 The two concepts of the ILD vertex detector developed for the ILC . . . 12
1.6 Beam telescope based on Monolithic Active Pixel Sensors . . . 13
1.7 Plane configurations of the EUDET telescope . . . 14

2.1 Average energy loss per unit of path length as function of the particle momentum 19
2.2 Passage of particles through matter — energy-loss distribution in a thin absorber 19
2.3 Average energy loss in silicon per unit of path length as a function of the muon kinetic energy . . . 20
2.4 Interactions between photons and bound electrons . . . 22
2.5 Probability for the interaction between a photon and a 300 µm thick silicon detector as function of the photon energy . . . 22
2.6 Consequences of particle interactions with a detector material . . . 24
2.7 Creation of ionizing damage in a Metal-Oxide-Semiconductor structure . . . 26
2.8 Creation of unstable and stable defects in silicon . . . 27
2.9 Emission and capture processes through intermediate states induced by non-ionizing radiation . . . 28
2.10 Displacement damage as a function of energy of different particles plotted relatively to 1 MeV neutrons . . . 30

3.1 Concept of a double-sided strip sensor . . . 34
3.2 Pattern ambiguities in 2D strip sensors . . . 35
3.3 Hybrid pixel sensor – ATLAS pixel module . . . 36
3.4 Charge collection in planar and 3D sensor . . . 38
3.5 Simplified view of pixels implemented in the sensitive volume with transversal charge collection . . . 39
3.6 Charge-Coupled Devices – cross-sectional view and readout scheme . . . 41
3.7 In-Situ Charge Storage Sensor – cross-sectional view of the first prototype . . . 43
3.8 DEPFET pixel cell – principle of operation . . . 44

3.9 Major steps for SOI process and cross-sectional view of the simplified pixel cell made in SOI sensor technology . . . 47

4.1 Cross-sectional view of a Monolithic Active Pixel Sensor . . . 52
4.2 The "three transistor" pixel – architecture and time diagrams . . . 55
4.3 "Self-biased" pixel – architecture and time diagrams . . . 56
4.4 Illustration of standard and RTS pixels . . . 61
4.5 Correlated Double Sampling operation – 3T pixel example . . . 63
4.6 Correlated Double Sampling operation – "self-biased" pixel example . . . 65
4.7 CMOS pixel sensor: concept of the sequential readout . . . 66
4.8 A typical setup configuration used for CMOS-sensor performance assessment . . 68
4.9 A typical $^{55}\mathrm{Fe}$ spectrum observed with a CMOS pixel sensor . . . 71
4.10 Re-binning method for fitting the $^{55}\mathrm{Fe}$ K_α peak . . . 72
4.11 Readout channel gain measurements . . . 74
4.12 Calculations of the average leakage current . . . 76
4.13 Calculations of the temporal noise . . . 78
4.14 Spectrum of the electrons emitted by a $^{106}\mathrm{Ru}$ source . . . 81
4.15 Dose spectrums of the X-Rays from the Seifert Rp149 facility used for the sensor irradiation . . . 83
4.16 Energy spectrum of the neutron sources used for sensor irradiation . . . 85
4.17 Consequences of the ionizing damage in MOS transistor . . . 87
4.18 Radiation-tolerant transistor: transistor with enclosed-layout geometry . . . 88
4.19 Ionizing radiation effects in CMOS sensors . . . 89
4.20 The leakage current of the radiation-tolerant diodes implemented in the MIMOSA-15 prototype as a function of temperature . . . 90
4.21 Ionizing radiation effects – temporal noise as a function of the temperature and integrated dose observed for MIMOSA-11 . . . 91
4.22 Impact of the pixel pitch and the thickness of the EPI layer on the tolerance of CMOS sensors to non-ionizing radiation . . . 93
4.23 Non-ionizing radiation effects: radiation tolerance as a function of pixel pitch . . 93

5.1 Schematic view of the sensor equipped with a column-parallel readout and data sparsification . . . 98
5.2 Simplified schematic of the first sensor prototype – MIMOSA-6 – with the column-parallel architecture . . . 100
5.3 Simplified schematic of the improved column-parallel architecture implemented in MIMOSA-8 . . . 102
5.4 The detection efficiency assessed with the digital part of the MIMOSA-16 prototype as a function of the discriminator threshold voltage . . . 103
5.5 Simplified sensor architecture of the MIMOSA-22 prototype . . . 105

5.6 The three in-pixel amplifier architectures studied with MIMOSA-22 105
5.7 The temporal noise and the FPN as functions of ionizing dose measured for MIMOSA-22 featuring in-pixel architectures with and without a feedback loop . 107
5.8 The results from the studies of MIMOSA-22 with the 120 GeV/c pion beam . . . 108
5.9 The performance of MIMOSA-22 subarray S6 as a function of the discriminator threshold voltage. The parameters were assessed with a high-energy particle beam for sensors before and after irradiation to 300 krad 109
5.10 Layouts of MIMOSA-22bis – illustration of the main in-pixel architecture modifications 112
5.11 Layouts of MIMOSA-22bis pixels with different feedback transistor types and geometry 113
5.12 Degradation of the performance after irradiation for MIMOSA-22bis architectures with standard-transistor and ELT in the feedback loop 115
5.13 Temporal noise of MIMOSA-22bis (subarrays S4 and S5) each as functions of ionizing dose for different feedback transistors type: standard and ELT 117
5.14 MIMOSA-22bis – variation of the temporal noise with temperature after irradiation to 1 Mrad. Temporal noise as a function of the integrated dose, observed at a constant temperature of -10 °C 118
5.15 Temporal noise as a function of integration time for standard and enclosed-layout feedback transistors 120
5.16 Temporal noise as a function of ionizing dose for the three investigated feedback transistors: ”weak”,”strong”, and ELT 121
5.17 Influence of input-transistor and load-transistor transconductance on the amplifier performance after irradiation to 1 Mrad 124
5.18 Diagram of the in-pixel elements investigated with the MIMOSA-22ter prototype 124
5.19 Pixel layouts of the MIMOSA-22ter prototype 126
5.20 Two possible implementations of the SB pixel 127
5.21 1/f-noise frequency spectrum before and after irradiation compared with the frequency response of the CDS, acting as a high-pass filter 128
5.22 Simplified illustration of the data sparsification implemented in MIMOSA-26 . . 129

6.1 Cross-sectional view of the BiCMOS process 137
6.2 Three pixels implemented in MIMOSA-21bis A in order to explore the influence of a p-type-buried silicon layer surrounding the sensing diodes 138
6.3 MIMOSA-21bis A: leakage current and temporal noise as a function of temperature after sensor irradiation up to 500 krad 141
6.4 MIMOSA-21bis B: Leakage current and temporal noise as a function of temperature after sensor irradiation of up to 500 krad 142

6.5 Vertical cut of the MIMOSA-21bis A prototype illustrating the influence of the p-type-buried-layer exclusion on the charge collection 145
6.6 Doping profile and electric potential distribution of the CMOS sensor based on low-resistivity EPI layer 147
6.7 Potential distribution in a pixel cell of the CMOS sensor based on a high-resistivity EPI layer 148
6.8 The two versions of MIMOSA-25 150
6.9 Sketch view of standard and radiation-tolerant diodes implemented in MIMOSA-25 151
6.10 The distribution of the signal charge from a ^{106}Ru source collected by the seed pixel of MIMOSA-25$_{RadTol}$ for three investigated matrices 152
6.11 Comparison of the collected charge as a function of the cluster size for MIMOSA-25 and for older devices based on standard EPI layer – MIMOSA-9 and MIMOSA-18 153
6.12 Comparison of SNR as a function of non-ionizing radiation fluence for sensors based on high-resistivity EPI layer – MIMOSA-25 – and those based on standard EPI layer – MIMOSA-15 and MIMOSA-18 155
6.13 Leakage current of different MIMOSA-25 diodes as a function of ionizing dose . 156
6.14 The temporal noise measured for the different sensing diodes implemented in the MIMOSA-25 sensors 157
6.15 Standard and "test" pixel cell implemented in MIMOSA-24 160
6.16 MIMOSA-24 – subarray implementation 161
6.17 Cross-sectional view of the radiation-tolerant diode design implemented in the AMS technology 161
6.18 Cross-sectional view of the different sensing diodes implemented in the MIMOSA-24 prototype 162
6.19 MIMOSA-24-sensor leakage current before irradiation as a function of the temperature 164
6.20 Temporal noise as a function of temperature for the MIMOSA-24 sensor before irradiation 165
6.21 Signal and SNR distributions observed for the MIMOSA-24 prototype before irradiation 166
6.22 Leakage current as a function of temperature for MIMOSA-24 sensors exposed up to 1 Mrad. Leakage current as a function of integrated dose, measured at constant temperature of +20 °C 169
6.23 Temporal noise as a function of temperature for MIMOSA-24 sensors irradiated up to 1 Mrad. Temporal noise as a function of integrated dose at a constant temperature of +20 °C 170

7.1 Readout organization of Charge-Coupled Devices 176

7.2 Implementation of the 3-phase and 2-phase CCD 177
7.3 Simplified 2D TCAD model of the 2-phase CP-CCD used in simulations 180
7.4 Main properties of the simplified 2D simulation model of the CCD pixel 181
7.5 Simulation of the charge transfer along the CCD channel 182
7.6 Simulation of the charge transfer through an irradiated 2-phase CCD 183
7.7 CTI as a function of clock amplitude 185
7.8 CTI as a function of clock amplitude for different p+ implant doping concentrations, and for various n-channel doping concentrations 186
7.9 The CTI simulation flow in TCAD 187
7.10 Simulation of an influence of previous charge transfer on the CTI 188
7.11 The simulated CTI as a function of temperature and readout clock frequency . . 189
7.12 Simulated CTI as a function of trap concentration 190
8.1 Charge collected by the seed pixels measured for MIMOSA-18 AHR fabricated with a high-resistivity EPI layer 197

A.1 Readout scheme of the column-parallel CMOS sensor: time diagram 199
A.2 Readout scheme of the collum-parallel CMOS sensor 201
A.3 Readout scheme of the column-parallel CMOS sensor: integration time 202
A.4 MOS capacitor 204
A.5 Readout scheme of the MIMOSA-25 sensor prototype 206

List of Tables

1.1 Performance requirements for the sensors composing the CBM Micro Vertex Detector . . . 10
1.2 Specification of the CMOS sensors equipping the STAR pixel detector . . . 11
1.3 The requirements of the ILC experiment regarding pixel sensors equipping the innermost layer of the vertex detector . . . 12
1.4 The main characteristics of the CMOS sensors with digital and sparsified output used for EUDET telescope . . . 14

4.1 Comparison of the MPV of the charge collected by the seed pixel, assessed with the ^{106}Ru source and high-energy pions . . . 81

5.1 Main features of the column-parallel CMOS sensors developed at IPHC-Strasbourg 100
5.2 The most probable values of the SNR for the three amplifier architectures studied with MIMOSA-22 before irradiation . . . 107
5.3 Test results with a high-energy pion beam for the S6 subarray of MIMOSA-22 . . 109
5.4 Feedback transistors used in the test architectures implemented in MIMOSA-22bis 112
5.5 Results from the tests with a high-energy pion beam for the two investigated subarrays of MIMOSA-22bis: standard (S5) and ELT (S4) . . . 114
5.6 The input, load, and feedback transistors transconductance modification . . . 122
5.7 Performance of MIMOSA-26 . . . 130

6.1 The three CMOS processes investigated in order to improve the radiation hardness 136
6.2 Description of pixels implemented in the A and B versions of MIMOSA-21bis . . 139
6.3 Parasitic capacitances of sensing diodes, temporal noise, and leakage current measured with MIMOSA-21bis A and B prototypes before irradiation . . . 140
6.4 Estimation of the charge expected in the seed pixel for the MIMOSA-21bis A prototype . . . 144
6.5 Temporal noise of MIMOSA-25$_{RadTol}$ before and after neutron irradiation, measured at a temperature of +20 °C . . . 150
6.6 The MPV of the charge collected by the seed pixel assessed with MIMOSA-25$_{RadTol}$ before irradiation . . . 152
6.7 The MPV of charge collected by the seed pixel as measured with MIMOSA-25$_{RadTol}$, MIMOSA-15, and MIMOSA-18, before and after irradiation 154

6.8 The MPV of the SNR measured with high-energy pion beam with MIMOSA-25_{RadTol} before and after irradiation 155
6.9 Comparison of the most relevant XFAB and AMS-OPTO-0.35-µm process parameters 159
6.10 MIMOSA-24 – description of implemented subarrays 160
6.11 MIMOSA-24 – measured pixel capacitances 163
6.12 Comparison of the leakage currents measured at a temperature of +20 °C with sensing diodes implemented in the AMS (MIMOSA-15) and the XFAB (MIMOSA-24) 0.35-µm processes 164
6.13 Comparison of the CCE observed with pixels before irradiation from different MIMOSA prototypes, based on either the AMS or the XFAB technologies 166
6.14 Parameters measured during tests with high-energy pion beam: MPV of the charge collected by the seed pixel, corresponding SNR (MPV), and detection efficiency 167
6.15 Comparison of the leakage currents measured at 20 °C with sensing diodes implemented in the AMS (MIMOSA-15) and the XFAB (MIMOSA-24) 0.35-µm processes 168
6.16 Comparison of the CCE after irradiation to $3{\cdot}10^{12}\,\mathrm{n_{eq}/cm^2}$ of sensors manufactured in the 0.35-µm AMS-OPTO and XFAB processes 171
6.17 The MPV of the collected charge in the seed pixel and the corresponding SNR. . 172

7.1 Simulated background results for three different detector concepts 183
7.2 Trap concentrations and electron capture cross-sections used in the TCAD simulations 184
8.1 The performance achieved with the column-parallel CMOS sensor (MIMOSA-26) based on a high-resistivity EPI layer 196

A.1 MIMOSA-22ter test sub-matrices 203